

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/683,200		11/30/2001	Allan MacLean	D/A1655	D/A1655 3231	
25453	7590	05/15/2006		EXAMINER		
		IENTATION CENT	LUDWIG, MATTHEW J			
XEROX CC		.TION E., SOUTH, XEROX S	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ROCHESTI		•	2178			
				DATE MAILED: 05/15/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/683,200	MACLEAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Matthew J. Ludwig	2178		

	Matthew J. Ludwig	2178	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLÓWANCE.	•
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complit following time periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice owing replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.	:	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advis event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	n SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	f the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on vibeen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension ar CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stat above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(and the corresponding amount of the fee. The curresponding amount of the fee. The current of the curren	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to∶avoid dismissal d	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NC v);	TE below);	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present o		:	the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, 3		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		:	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al the non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	•		
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a l d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13. Other:	$\bigwedge \bigwedge$		

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the cited reference (Skantze et al., USPN 6,722,574) discloses the claimed feature of 'wherein the memory records an identifier associated with the image data; the identifier being rendered on the hardcopy document; the identifier and the image data being recorded in the memory in response to rendering a hardcopy representation'. More specifically, the reference suggests at least the temporary storage of an identifier and image data after the business card is sent to a facsimile. Skantze discloses a send button that when activated, sends an e-mail or a fax to the address stated on the front. The word identifier, as presently claimed, fails to preclude the examiner from utilizing dates, times, tasks, and comments supplied by an author of a business card, to teach or suggest an identifier. The applicant is reminded that claim limitations are to be given their broadest reasonable interpretation within the scope of the art. Therefore, the fax machine disclosed in Skantze provides a suggestion of a memory device that would, in a temporary fashion, stored the identifier along with the image data in response to a user selecting the send button on the electronic business card. See column 12, 13-67.